

Appendix 1 – Block on Page Summary

| Block name | | | | | | Typology eg Sheltered | | | |
|------------|--|-------------|--|------------|--|-----------------------|--|-------|---------|
| Area | | Sub area | | NHO | | Location | | LLP | |
| Building | | Total flats | | 1 bed | | 2 bed | | 3 bed | Storeys |
| Type | | | | Date built | | | | | |

| Duration of tenancies | % | City | Other profiling: | % | City | Age of tenants | % | City |
|---|---|------|-----------------------|---|-------|------------------------|---|------|
| Resident < 6 months | | 7% | Families | | 10% | Tenants < 25 years old | | 6% |
| 6 months to 2 years | | 16% | Leaseholders | | 2.2% | 25 – 35 | | 17% |
| 2 years to 5 years | | 22% | Rent arrears | | 2.13% | 35 – 55 | | 37% |
| 5 years to 10 years | | 21% | BME population | | 34% | 55 – 75 | | 27% |
| 10 years or more | | 34% | Disability | | | Aged 75 or over | | 12% |
| Summary: Is it a high demand /low demand? Stable? | | | Housing support needs | | | Summary: | | |

| Tenant perceptions (WNW Survey July 2013 – 10 responses) | | | | | | |
|---|---|------|---|--|---|------|
| | % | City | Top 3 major problems in upkeep of the block | | % | City |
| Satisfaction with neighbourhood | | 71% | 1. | | | |
| Satisfaction with block as a place to live | | | 2. | | | |
| Satisfaction with internal cleaning | | 70% | 3. | | | |
| Satisfaction with external cleaning | | 62% | Top 3 major problems in the block | | % | City |
| Tenants who feel safe in the block | | 75% | 1. | | | % |
| Tenants reported ASB in last 6 months | | | 2. | | | % |
| | | | 3. | | | % |
| Summary: Is it high or low satisfaction of Ts relative to other Ts in MSFs. Provides information on priorities for tenants for services | | | | | | |

| Housing Management perceptions (Survey May 2014) | |
|--|----------------------------|
| Internal condition | Other investment issues |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | Top 3 issues for the block |
| External condition | 1. |
| | 2. |
| | 3. |
| Summary: Intensive management issues? Asb? What types? | |

| Surveyor findings (Survey May 2014) | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------|------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Sustainability % | | | Average SAP rating for dwellings | | Investment need per block for next 5 years | |
| | Green | Amber | Red | | City | 70.15 |
| | | | | Thermal performance - U value | | Mechanical and electrical |
| City | 99.8% | 0.2% | 0% | Lower is better | Roof | Walls |
| Decency % | | | | | | Lifts |
| | Decent | Non Decent | Regulation | 0.18 | 0.28 | Dwellings |
| | | | Surveyed | | | Fabric of building including communal areas and external repairs |
| City | 88.7% | 11.3% | | City - 89.8% | | Total |